Approved For-Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP83T00578R000200240017-9

ODP	0-368
2 7	MAR* 1980

			STATINTL
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Office of General Counsel	STATINTL
	FROM:	EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ODP	
	SUBJECT:	Litigation Involving:	STATINTL
	REFERENCE:	FOIA Request CA 80-0038/ F79-0457 dtd 24 March 80	
			STATINTL
		ffice of Data Processing has completed the under the name of	
		s not searched its files exhaus- sory review did not find any ing to:	
STATINTL	T 1	The "B" Team The "Plumbers" (or Watergate files) TEAP SALT I and SALT II.	STATINTL
STATINTL	cc: IPD		
	O/D/ODP/	:ee/3-26-80	
	Distribution: Orig - adse 1 - XRM IPI 2 - ODP Reg 2 - O/D/ODE	gistry	

Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP83T00573R000200240017-9

Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP83T09573R000200240017-9

OGC 80-02381 21 March 1980

ODP #0-350

MEMORANDUM FOR: Appeals Coordinator

Information and Privacy Division/DDA

FROM : VIIICE OI COUNSEL

SUBJECT : New Developments in Litigation

Involving

STATINTL

STATINTL

1. Several new developments have occurred with regard

TATINTL to the FOIA litigation brought by ______ widow of

These developments require Agency employees

working on this FOIA request to take a number of actions.

2. A status call has been established in this litigation. The scheduled status call date is April 14, 1980. It is likely that CIA will be required to make a number of representations to the court at this status call. These representations are set forth below:

a description of the status of the search of the Agency's records conducted under the name of

STATINTL an estimate of the timeframe required for completion of Agency records searches regarding

It is essential that these representations accurately reflect the time that will be required to complete any remaining records searches, document review, and intra-component coordination. Based on these representations, it is possible the court may establish a deadline for the submission of this Agency's justification of its reasons for withholding documents or portions of documents—i.e., the requirement established in Vaughn v. Rosen. This requirement, as you well know, will serve as the basis for establishing an internal Agency deadline for the completion of each component's submission of records to OGC.

ST	irom	eacn	According componer name of	ply, I will it with req	l need th	e following in heir records s	formation search	E A
	/\!\\\\\		a statem records	ment indica search has	ting whe	ther or not th mpleted;	eir ·	2.K
	•	W	a statem records	ment indica coordinati	ting whe	ther or not the been complete	eir d; and	- 3
ST	ATIN T L		complete review,	e all the a sanitize (cheir compo	ctions name	quired (if any ecessary to lo cessary) and c ecords found u	cate, cordinate	
STATINT	generation found Agency respon	r of ated in t	document by their heir fil have no	s in their component es which w	possess: that is ere gene: urned to	urate estimate ion which have s, excluding a rated elsewher the originato	been ny document e in the	ts
STATINTI	that demand	ouns for unue	documen dea court the name tempt to	ts which h th. Altho nsel agree me of force CIA	ing this has not believe ugh the i d to a li	consulted the case and have relinquished are relevantile indicates mited search are records independents relating	been advis his t to that of CIA ST rs that	seđ Atintl
	-	• [ST	ΓΑΤΙΝΤΙ
	-	-	the "B" 1	team				
	-	-	the "plur	mbers" (or	Watergat	e files)		
	-	-	MEAP				07.71	
	-	-	Salt I ar	nd Salt II			STATINTL	
	and tn positi consti	e at on t tute	torney ic hat a doc s an "uni	or cument-by-c ceasonable	locument search"	respondence be hile it will he search of thes under the FOIR y CIA in response	e our se records	

STATINTL

request, it is imperative that I have a rairry crear idea of the number of documents involved. If the volume of documents that would be required to be searched under the topics cited above can be demonstrated, I am fairly confident that such a search will appear to be unreasonable. I cannot, however, make such a claim in the absence of a response from each component indicating the extent of their records holdings under these topics.

- 5. Accordingly, each component should advise me of the following, with respect to any records in their possession or custody flied under the seven topics listed above:
- the approximate volume of such records in their possession by topic—a statement such as "approximately 40 documents consisting of approximately 170 pages filed under the name of "approximately 500 documents consisting of approximately 3,600 pages filed under Salt I," "approximately 700 documents consisting of approximately 4,000 pages filed under Salt II," "no documents filed under any of the other topics indicated," would suffice. If any component has voluminous files under any of these topics, instead of counting their documents, a more general description will be fine at this stage—i.e., "45 boxes of unindexed documents, each box measuring 36 x 18 inches or "75 linear feet of documents stored in file folders."
 - -- the approximate cost of a search of such records by topic, including photocopying costs;*/ and
 - -- a ballpark guess of the amount of time required to review, sanitize and coordinate such documents by topic.**/

^{*/} This information should be provided by topic; i.e.,
"estimated time required to search files is 37 STATINTL
hours"; "estimated time required to search B team files is
60 hours," etc.

^{**/} This information is also required on a topic-bytopic basis. For example, statements such as "cost of
searching and photocopying Salt I files is estimated to be
\$400-\$500," "cost of searching and photocopying Watergate
files is estimated to be \$1,500," cost of searching and
photocopying MEAP files is \$150."

	It is important that I	have these estimates by topic, t	hat 🗓 🗓
	is, giving an itemized	breakdown of the volume, cost of	
	the search and search to	ime for documents on a category-	
	by-category basis. In	this way, it may be possible tha will balk at paying for the cost	
	all these	topics and will, accordingly,	
	narrow his request to a	particular topic or topics.	
	In addition, this inform	mation will assist me in my effo	rts
	to persuade the court t	hat any search of these records	is
	not reasonable and, hen	ce, should not be undertaken	
	by CIA. In addition, t	he employee or employees in each	
	component who will be m	aking this investigation for me seven topics indicated above, s	Dougla
W1-34.	also provide me with an	estimate of the percentage of r	ecords
	under each topic that a	re indexed in such a way that do	cuments
STATINTL	relating to	could be easily identified by	
ė.	reference to the index.	In the absence of any comments	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
	regarding such indexing	, I will assume that these recor	ds
	are not indexed in such	detail. This bit of informatio	
STATINTL	is important to know io	r the simple reason that it related of the request made by	C65 # 11 # 1
	counsel A search of u	nindexed records on a document-b	Y -
	document basis is. at 1	east in my mind, clearly unreaso	nable.
	Accordingly, the extent	of any indexing of these record	s is
	relevant to CIA's case	and should be provided to me.	
	6. I will need al	l the information requested in t	ne :
	memorandum on or before	April 4, 1980. Now that a stat should advise everyone who is wo	rking
	on this case that I wil	l need their prompt and complete	
	support in order to mak	e this litigation as painless	
	as possible.		
STATINTL			
	7. If anyone who	has been working on this litigat the information that will be re	And the
	nas any questions about	they should call me on extension	6046
	black. I know that, fo	r some employees who have been w	rorking
	diligently on the	r some employees who have been we case, these requests for info	rmation
	will require come ettor	t to respond to. It is importan	
	to note, hwoever, that	without this type of detailed in	ITOTAIN PRO-
	the risk is increased t	hat CIA might be required to see	ICA
	these records by the Fe	deral District Court.	
	•		
4			
	STATINTL		
	* · · · ·		

